

A CHARACTERIZATION OF FORMALLY SYMMETRIC UNBOUNDED OPERATORS

Danko Jocić

Abstract. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for an operator in a Hilbert space to be formally symmetric, symmetric or self-adjoint. This generalizes the well-known fact that a bounded operator T is self-adjoint if and only if $T^*T \leq (\operatorname{Re}T)^2$. The proof is based on a well-behaved extension of the corresponding symmetric operator.

0. Introduction

Fong and Istratescu [1] and also Kittaneh [2] have proved the following:

THEOREM A. *A bounded operator T is self-adjoint if and only if $T^*T \leq (\operatorname{Re}T)^2$.*

They used Theorem A to investigate some classes of bounded operators — θ , WN and hyponormal operators. A large number of well-known and important operators, for example $x+i d/dx$, belongs to similar classes of unbounded operators. The aim of this note is to extend Theorem A to unbounded operators and to make it suitable for dealing with such situations. Our main result is Theorem 1 in which we present characterizations for an operator to be formally symmetric, symmetric or self-adjoint (Theorems 2, 3).

1. Preliminaries

Suppose that $(H, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ is a separable, complex, infinite dimensional Hilbert space and let $(H \oplus H, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ denote the usual product space. Throughout this paper we assume that all operators are linear. Let $D(A)$ denote the domain of an operator A . The operators $(A+A^*)/2$ and $(A+A^*)/2i$ (with $\Delta(A) = D(A) \cap D(A^*)$ as their domains) will be denoted by $\operatorname{Re}A$ and $\operatorname{Im}A$ respectively. If A is a restriction of B on $D(A)$, we will write $A \subset B$. Whenever $\Delta(A)$ is dense in H , we will denote the domains of $(\operatorname{Re}A)^*$ and $(\operatorname{Im}A)^*$ by $D(\operatorname{Re}A)^*$ and $D(\operatorname{Im}A)^*$ respectively. We recall

that a densely defined operator A is said to be symmetric iff $\langle Ax|y\rangle = \langle x|Ay\rangle$ for all $x, y \in D(A)$, i.e. if $A \subset A^*$. It is said to be formally symmetric iff $A^*x = Ax$ for all $x \in \Delta(A)$ i.e. iff $\text{Im } A \subset 0$. Note that $\text{Re } A$ and $\text{Im } A$ are symmetric whenever $\Delta(A)$ is dense in H .

2. The construction

LEMMA 1. *For a closed, symmetric operator A in H we define the operator A^\sim by $A^\sim(x, y) = (A^\sim x, A^\sim y)$. If the domain of A^\sim is given by $D(A^\sim) = \{(x, y) \in D(A^*) \times D(A^*): x - y \in D(A)\}$ then A^\sim is one self-adjoint extension of $A \oplus (-A)$.*

Proof. For all (x, y) and (f, g) in $D(A^\sim)$ we have that

$$\langle A^\sim(x, y)|(f, g)\rangle = \langle A^*x|f\rangle - \langle A^*y|g\rangle = \langle A^*(x - y)|f\rangle + \langle A^*y|(f - g)\rangle.$$

Since $x - y$ and $f - g$ are in $D(A)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A^*(x - y)|f\rangle + \langle A^*y|(f - g)\rangle &= \langle A(x - y)|f\rangle + \langle y|A(f - g)\rangle \\ &= \langle (x, y)|A^\sim(f, g)\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So A^\sim is symmetric.

Suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n, y_n) = (x, y)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A^*x_n, -A^*y_n) = (u, v)$ for some $(x_n, y_n) \in D(A^\sim)$ and some $x, y, u, v \in H$. This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - y_n) = x - y$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(x_n - y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^*(x_n - y_n) = u + v$. Since A^* and A are closed and $x_n - y_n \in D(A)$, it follows that $x - y \in D(A)$ and $x, y \in D(A^*)$. Moreover, $A^*x = u$ and $A^*y = -v$. Therefore $(x, y) \in D(A^\sim)$ and also $A^\sim(x, y) = (A^*x - A^*y) = (u, v)$ is closed.

Finally, suppose that $(x, y) \in R(A^\sim + iI)^\perp$. Then it follows that $\langle x|(A^* + iI)f\rangle = \langle y|(A^* - iI)g\rangle$ for all $(f, g) \in D(A^\sim)$ and, in particular $\langle x|(A^* + iI)f\rangle = 0$ for all $f \in D(A)$. Therefore $x \in (A^{**}) = D(A)$ and, moreover, $x \in \text{Ker}(A - iI)$. It now follows that $2\|x\|^2 = \langle (A + iI)x|x\rangle = \langle x|(A - iI)x\rangle = 0$, and hence $x = 0$. Analogously, we can prove that $y = 0$ and thus $R(A^\sim + iI)^\perp = \{0\}$. The equality $R(A^* - iI)^\perp = \{0\}$ follows similarly, and hence A^\sim is self-adjoint.

Remark 1. An alternative proof of Lemma 1 can be obtained by using von Neumann's formulae for self-adjoint extensions of $A \oplus (-A)$. The corresponding partial isometry V is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, y) &= -(y, x), \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in \text{Cl}(R(A \oplus (-A) + iI)), \\ V(x, y) &= 0, \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in \text{Ker}(A^* \oplus (-A^*) - iI). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. *Let A and B be closed symmetric operators and assume that $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and $D(A^*) \subset D(B^*)$. Then there exist selfadjoint extensions A^\sim and B^\sim of $A \oplus (-A)$ and $B \oplus (-B)$ respectively, such that $D(A^\sim) \subset D(B^\sim)$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to take the extension constructed in Lemma 1. Then the required inclusion can be shown by a straightforward computation.

3. Main results

THEOREM 1. *Let A and B be symmetric operators and assume that $D(A) \subset D(B)$, $D(A^*) \subset D(B^*)$ and also*

$$\|(A^* - iB^*)x\| \leq \|A^*x\| \quad (\text{a})$$

for all $x \in D(A^*)$. Then $B \subset 0$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that A and B are closed. To see this, note that (a) implies $\|Bx\| \leq 2\|Ax\|$ for all $x \in D(A)$ and hence $D(A^-) \subset D(B^-)$. Because of $A^{-*} = A^*$ and $B^{-*} = B^*$ it follows that $D(A^{-*}) \subset D(B^{-*})$ and $\|(A^{-*} - iB^{-*})x\| \leq \|A^{-*}x\|$ for all $x \in D(A^{-*})$. So, according to Lemma 2, let A^\sim and B^\sim be the corresponding self-adjoint extensions of $A \oplus (-A)$ and $B \oplus (-B)$, respectively. A simple calculation gives

$$\|(A^\sim - iB^\sim)(x, y)\|_\sim \leq \|A^\sim(x, y)\|_\sim \quad (\text{a}')$$

for all $(x, y) \in D(A^\sim)$. Let E be the spectral measure induced by A^\sim and let $\gamma \subset \delta \subset \mathbf{R}$, for some measurable bounded set γ and δ . We define $A(\delta) = E(\delta)A^\sim E(\delta)$ and $B(\delta) = E(\delta)B^\sim E(\delta)$. Since $E(\delta)h \in D(A^\sim)$, it follows by Lemma 2 that $E(\delta)h \in D(B^\sim)$, for an arbitrary $h \in H \oplus H$. Hence $D(B(\delta)) = H \oplus H$. Obviously $B(\delta)$ is symmetric and therefore self-adjoint. Then there exists a sequence $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of unit vectors in $H \oplus H$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B(\delta) - \lambda)h_n = 0$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfying $|\lambda| = \|B(\delta)\|$. It follows from (a') that

$$\|B(\delta)h_n\| \leq -2 \operatorname{Re} i \langle A(\delta)h_n | (B(\delta) - \lambda)h_n \rangle. \quad (\text{a}'')$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\|B(\delta)\|^2 \leq 0$, and consequently $E(\delta)B^\sim E(\delta) = 0$. Since $\gamma \subset \delta$ we conclude that $E(\delta)B^\sim E(\gamma) = 0$. If $\bigcup\{\gamma_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\} = \bigcup\{\delta_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\} = \mathbf{R}$ for some increasing sequences $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ and $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, it follows that $B^\sim E(\gamma) = s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\delta_n)B^\sim E(\gamma) = 0$ because $s\text{-}\lim E(\delta_n) = I$. Moreover, $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\gamma_n) = I$ implies $B^\sim = s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B^\sim E(\gamma_n) = 0$, since B^\sim is closed. Consequently, $B \subset 0$ as required.

Remark 2. If, in addition, A is (essentially) self-adjoint, then the assumption $D(A^*) \subset D(B^*)$ can be omitted and the proof of Theorem 1 simplified. Also, the use of lemmas becomes unnecessary.

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we give the following characterization.

THEOREM 2. *If $\Delta(T)$ is dense in H , then T is formally symmetric if and only if: (1) $D(\operatorname{Re} T)^* \subset D(\operatorname{Im} T)^*$, (2) $\|(\operatorname{Re} T)^*x - i(\operatorname{Im} T)^*x\| \leq \|(\operatorname{Re} T)^*x\|$ for all $x \in D(\operatorname{Re} T)^*$.*

Proof. If (1) and (2) are true, then $\operatorname{Im} T \subset 0$ by Theorem 1, and hence T is formally self-adjoint. The necessity of (1) is obvious.

LEMMA 3. *If $D(T) \subset D(T)^*$ for an operator T , then the following are equivalent:*

$$(1) \quad D(\operatorname{Re} T)^* \subset D(\operatorname{Im} T)^*; \quad (1') \quad D(\operatorname{Re} T)^* \subset D(T^*).$$

If the assumption (1) is satisfied, then $T^*x = (\operatorname{Re}T)^*x - i(\operatorname{Im}T)^*x$ for every $x \in D(\operatorname{Re}T)^*$.

Proof. Since $D(\operatorname{Re}T) = D(\operatorname{Im}T) = D(T)$ it follows that $D(\operatorname{Re}T)^* \cap D(\operatorname{Im}T)^* \subset D(T^*)$ and $D(\operatorname{Re}T)^* \cap D(T^*) \subset D(\operatorname{Im}T)^*$ and therefore the equivalence of (1) and (1') is obvious. Because of $T = \operatorname{Re}T + i\operatorname{Im}T$ it follows that $T^* \supset (\operatorname{Re}T)^* - i(\operatorname{Im}T)^*$ from which we derive the rest of the statement.

THEOREM 3. *An operator T is symmetric (resp. self-adjoint) iff*

$$(0') \quad D(T) \subset D(T^*), \quad (\text{resp. } D(T) = D(T^*))$$

$$(1') \quad D(\operatorname{Re}T)^* \subset D(T^*);$$

$$(2') \quad \|T^*x\| \leq \|(\operatorname{Re}T)^*x\|$$

for all $x \in D(\operatorname{Re}T)^*$.

Proof. If (0'), (1') and (2') are true, then T is formally symmetric by Lemma 3 and Theorem 2. Because of (0'), T is symmetric (resp. self-adjoint). The necessity of (0'), (1') and (2') is obvious.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. K. Fong, V. I. Istratescu, *Some characterizations of Hermitian operators and related classes of operators. I*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1979), 107–112.
- [2] F. Kittaneh, *Some characterizations of self-adjoint operators*, Acta Sci. Math. **47** (1984), 441–445.
- [3] J. Weidmann, *Linear Operators in Hilbert Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1980.

Institut za primenjenu matematiku i elektroniku
Kneza Miloša 37
11000 Beograd
Yugoslavia

(Received 23 12 1988)